

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/831,462	05/09/2001	Trevor Neil Day	7943M	4314
27752 7.	590 12/03/2003		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
	AL PROPERTY DIVISION TECHNICAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HILL AVENUE	2011.101	1761	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09831,462 DAY ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	ARTHUR L. GRBIN 1761
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for R ply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent.
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi n f Claims	
17 Claim(e) 1-17 126-48	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of th above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of th above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ 1 1 2 6 4 8	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of th above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Of th above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection
Of th above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved.
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved.
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. pjected to by the Examiner
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. pjected to by the Examiner
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. Djected to by the Examiner
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. Djected to by the Examiner
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the:	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is paperoved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the: C rtified copies of the priority documents have beet	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner is under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on Share ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the:	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. on received in Application No ents have been received
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is paperoved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner is under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). It was under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). It was received. It was neceived in Application No. It was neceived in Application No.
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoned in this national stage application from the Internation is claim for form the Internation is copies of the priority documents have been completed to be a claim for the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies cert	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is paperoved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner is under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). It was under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). It was received. It was neceived in Application No. It was neceived in Application No.
Of th above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoned in this national stage application from the Internation is claim for form the Internation is not received: Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoned is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all ocuments have been completed copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner is ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). The received in Application No. ents have been received conal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Of th above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ C rtified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or lection requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner is ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paner No. (12103

Application/Control Number: 09/831,462 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 is indefinite in reciting a trademark or tradename in a claim. (In re Shepard, 138USPQ148 and Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020).

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gaffar et al (4,808,401, column 2) or Gaffar et al (4,889,712).

Application/Control Number: 09/831,462

Art Unit: 1761

Both Gaffar et al patents disclose chewing gum including soluble and water insoluble components, polymeric surfactant, e.g. glossy polyphosphate or polyphosphate, and metallic ions, e.g. zinc. Surface conditioning effects as claimed inherently produced an individual chews either gum since a polymeric surfactant is present therein, as claimed by applicant. Any differences that may exist between applicant's chewing gum and the chewing gum in either patent are deemed to be obvious.

7. Claims 6 and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaffar et al (4,808,401).

The chewing gum components in Gaffar et al are present in amounts as claimed by applicant.

8. Claims 7, 17 and 26-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaffar et al (4,808,401).

Coated chewing products (claim 7) are conventional. Finding the optimum polyphosphate particle size (claims 17 and 29-36), optimum aqueous solubility (claims 17 and 40-42) and optimum polyphosphate length and hardness (claims 37-39, 43 and 44) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chow et al, Miskewitz and Eis et al show chewing gums containing polyphosphates.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,462

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can generally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 10 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Corbin/dh November 28, 2003

ARTHUR L. CORBIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER